Cognitive Assistants for Intelligence Analysis: Theory, Textbooks, and Tools

> Gheorghe Tecuci, Dorin Marcu, Mihai Boicu, David Schum Learning Agents Center, George Mason University http://lac.gmu.edu

> > NGA Grant #HM1582-09-1-0049 National University Research Initiative (NURI) PM: Joan Vallancewhitacre, TM: Keri Eustis

2014 NGA Academic Research Program (NARP) Symposium and Workshops

9 - 11 September 2014 The National Academy of Sciences Keck Center Washington, DC

Overview

Computational Theory of Intelligence Analysis

From Tiacritis to Disciple-CD and to Cogent

"Knowledge Engineering" Textbook and Disciple-EBR

"Connecting the Dots" Textbook and Disciple-CD

Cogent: Cognitive Agent for Cogent Analysis

Future Research

Computational Theory of Intelligence Analysis



Computational Theory of Intelligence Analysis

Key Elements

- Developed in the framework of the scientific method.
- Systematic approach to evidence-based reasoning through a synergistic integration of abductive, deductive, and inductive reasoning.
- Computational models for essential analytical tasks (e.g., evidence marshaling, hypothesis-driven evidence collection, multi-INT fusion, detection and mitigation of bias).
- General analysis structure with favoring and disfavoring arguments for competing hypotheses.
- Intuitive system of Baconian probabilities with Fuzzy qualifiers, allowing customizable assessment scales.
- Substance-blind ontology of evidence.
- General procedures for credibility/believability assessment.

Advanced Tools for Intelligence Analysis: From TIACRITIS to Disciple-CD and to COGENT

Improvements over TIACRITIS

- Probability system
- > Argument development
- Evidence-based reasoning
- Knowledge base management
- Usability
- Scalability
- > Reliability

Disciple Assistant for Connecting the Dots

Teaching Intelligence Analysts Critical Thinking Skills



Disciple-CD 2011-2014

> Connecting the Od Biological Andrew Biological A

Version 1 (Summer 2014)

Cognitive Agent for Cogent Analysis

COGENT

2012-2016

New Generation Tool

- Easy to use
- Enforcing cogent analyses
- Learning and reuse
- Collaborative analysis
- Enabling fast analyses
- Customizable scale

Knowledge Engineering Textbook (with Disciple-EBR)

KNOWLEDGE ENGINEERING: Building Personal Learning Assistants for Evidence-based Reasoning

- Introduction
- Evidence-based Reasoning: Connecting the Dots
- Methodologies and Tools for System Design and Development
- Modeling the Problem Solving Process
- Ontologies
- Ontology Design and Development
- Reasoning with Ontology and Rules
- Learning for Knowledge-based Systems
- Rule Learning
- Rule Refinement
- Abstraction of Reasoning
- Disciple Agents (Disciple-WA, Disciple-COA, Disciple-COG, and Disciple-VPT)

Practice with Disciple-EBR to build learning assistants such as Disciple-CD

Theory of knowledge engineering and evidence-based reasoning

Examples and exercises at each chapter



Intelligence Analysis Textbook (with Disciple-CD)

Connecting the Dots:

Intelligence Analysis as Discovery of Evidence, Hypotheses, and Arguments

Connecting the Dots: Intelligence Analysis as Discovery of schemes, Hypotheses, and Arguments Mengel intelligence analysis Demples and severitar Busingles and severitar

Theory of intelligence analysis and evidence-based reasoning

- Intelligence Analysis: "Connecting the Dots"
- Marshaling Thoughts and Evidence for Imaginative Analysis
- Disciple-CD: A Cognitive Assistant for Intelligence Analysis
- Evidence
- Divide and Conquer: A Necessary Approach to Complex Analyses
- Assessing the Believability of Evidence
- Chains of Custody
- Recurrent Substance-blind Combinations of Evidence
- Major Sources of Uncertainty in Masses of Evidence
- Assessing and Reporting Uncertainty: Some Alternative Methods
- Analytic Bias
- Appendices

Basic and advanced practice with Disciple-CD to assess hypotheses based on evidence

© 2014 Learning Agents Center

Examples and exercises at each chapter

Cogent: Cognitive Agent for Cogent Analysis







Analyst assessments

Strength of link: How strong is the link between what the evidence states and the hypothesis? That is, assuming that Aum has indeed created the two dummy chemical companies, how strong is the hypothesis that it has a legitimate business which is justified to acquire sarin?

2

Credibility of evidence: How high is the credibility of E1 (i.e., that Aum has indeed created two dummy chemical companies)?



1



Cogent Documentation

Getting Started with Cogent (for the strength scale and

for the probability scale)

| 3 |
|---|
| |

Operations to install and uninstall Cogent.

Analysis Example

Theory and operation of Cogent through a complete analysis example, ending with operations of saving the developed argumentation, creating a new knowledge base, and loading it to develop a new argumentation.

| Introduction |
|---|
| Determining the probability of a hypothesis and operations description. |
| Assistants |
| Operations to open and close Cogent assistants. |
| Building an Argument 10 |
| Basic operations for building an argument. |
| Assessments and Assumptions 23 |
| Operations to assess the credibility and strength, and to make assumptions. |
| Local Evidence |
| Operations to define, update, and delete evidence in the local repository. |
| Updating an Argument |
| More complex operations to update an argument. |
| Learning and Reuse |
| Knowledge Repository 47 |
| Operations to create, select, save, close, and transfer knowledge bases. |
| Requirements and Installation 53 |
| Operations to install and uninstall Cogent. |



Cogent: Operations (for the strength scale and for the probability scale)

Future Research

Advanced analytic capabilities:

- Detection and mitigation of cognitive bias;
- Evidence marshaling for hypotheses generation;
- ACH-like visualization and browsing;
- Key evidence and assumptions; etc.
- Advanced learning capabilities
- Collaborative analysis
- Analysis advisor
- Automatic report generation
- Cogent-based textbook
- Transition to IC and DOD

Questions



Contact information

Gheorghe Tecuci Professor of Computer Science and Director of the Learning Agents Center MSN 6B3, Learning Agents Center, George Mason Univ., Fairfax, VA 22030 tecuci@gmu.edu tel 703 993 1722 http://lac.gmu.edu/