Please answer the following questions to the best of your ability. Your responses will help us to understand how you evaluate online articles that provide scientific evidence.

Will my responses be graded?

No. Your responses will be used by our research team to understand how you think critically about science.

Will my results be confidential?

The professors and researchers on our team will compile your responses for our project; no one outside of our team will have access to your responses.

You may answer the questions in any order, and you may change your responses at any time.

If you encounter problems or have questions while you are completing this pre-assessment, please raise your hand and a member of the research team will assist you.

Section 1 - Evaluating Evidence

You need to write a paper about the potential dangers of medical X-rays. A Google search leads you to the following five articles.

Article #1	Article #2	Article #3	Article #4	Article #5
Title:	Title:	Title:	Title:	Title:
The surprising effects of CT scans and X-rays	What are the risks from medical X- rays and other low-dose radiation?	The harmful side effects of X-rays often pose a greater risk than the original health problem	X-rays: Adverse effects	Are X-rays really safe?
Publisher:	Publisher:	Publisher:	Publisher:	Publisher:
Consumer Reports	The British Institute of Radiology	Ener-Chi Wellness Center	Wikipedia	Medical News Today

- 1. Which article are you most likely to use as a reference?
 - The Surprising Effects of CT Scans and X-rays from Consumer Reports
 - What are the risks from medical X-rays and other low-dose radiation? from The British Institute of Radiology
 - The Harmful Side Effects of X-rays Often Pose a Greater Risk than the Original Health Problem from the Ener-Chi Wellness Center
 - X-rays Adverse Effects from Wikipedia
 - Are X-rays Really Safe from Medical News Today

Evaluate Article #1 -

Here is more detailed information about the first article.



The following text is an excerpt from the article.

X-rays have been used for almost 120 years, but the introduction of computed tomography, or CT scans, in the 1970s, was revolutionary. The new tests, which use multiple X-ray images, allowed doctors to see with unprecedented precision the inner workings of the human body, and earned the inventors of the device the 1979 Nobel Prize in medicine. Use of the tests grew quickly, rising from fewer than 3 million per year in 1980 to more than 80 million now.

But recent research shows that about one-third of those scans serve little if any medical purpose. And even when CT scans or other radiology tests are necessary, doctors and technicians don't always take steps to limit radiation exposure.

All of that exposure poses serious health threats. Researchers estimate that at least 2 percent of all future cancers in the U.S.— approximately 29,000 cases and 15,000 deaths per year—will stem from CT scans alone.

Please examine the screensl 2-4.	hot of the above website befo	ore responding to Questions
If you would like more inforn following link:	nation, you may visit the web	site by clicking on the
https://www.consumerreport	ts.org/cro/magazine/2015/01/t	the-surprising-dangers-of-ct-
sans-and-x-rays/index.htm		
You are also welcome to visi	it other websites to support y	our evidence evaluation.
2. What is the credibility of this ar	rticle?	
Certainly credible	Likely not credible	I have no basis by which to evaluate
Very likely credible	Very likely not credible	the credibility of the article.
Likely credible	Certainly not credible	
3. How likely are you to use the a	article as a reference for your paper?	
Very Likely	Unlikely Very Unlikely	
4. Why or why not? Explain in 2	2 or 3 sentences.	

Evaluate Article #2

Here is more detailed information about the second article.



•		

Review article

What are the risks from medical X-rays and other low dose radiation?

© The British Institute of Radiology

B F Wall, BSc, G M Kendall, PhD, A A Edwards, MSc, S Bouffler, PhD, C R Muirhead, PhD, and J R Meara, FFPH

Health Protection Agency, Radiation Protection Division, Centre for Radiation, Chemical and Environmental Hazards, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon. OX11 0RQ, UK

Ð

The following text is an excerpt from the article.

The magnitude of the risks from low doses of radiation is one of the central questions in radiological protection. It is particularly relevant when discussing the justification and optimization of diagnostic medical exposures. Medical X-rays can undoubtedly confer substantial benefits in the healthcare of patients, but not without exposing them to effective doses ranging from a few microsieverts to a few tens of millisieverts. Do we have any evidence that these levels of exposure result in significant health risks to patients? The current consensus held by national and international radiological protection organizations is that, for these comparatively low doses, the most appropriate risk model is one in which the risk of radiation-induced cancer and hereditary disease is assumed to increase linearly with increasing radiation dose, with no threshold (the so-called linear no threshold (LNT) model). However, the LNT hypothesis has been challenged both by those who believe that low doses of radiation are more damaging than the hypothesis predicts and by those who believe that they are less harmful, and possibly even beneficial (often referred to as hormesis). This article reviews the evidence for and against both the LNT hypothesis and hormesis, and explains why the general scientific consensus is currently in favour of the LNT model as the most appropriate dose–response relationship for radiation protection purposes at low doses. Finally, the impact of the LNT model on the assessment of the risks from medical X-rays and how this affects the justification and optimization of such exposures is discussed.

Please examine the screenshot of the above website before responding to Questions 5-7.

If you would like more information, you may visit the website by clicking on the following link:

http://www.birpublications.org/doi/abs/10.1259/bjr/55733882

You are also welcome to visit other websites to support your evaluation.

5. What is the credibility of this	article?	
Certainly credible	Likely not credible	I have no basis by which to evaluate
Very likely credible	Very likely not credible	the credibility of the article.
Likely credible	Certainly not credible	
6. How likely are you to use th	e article as a reference for your paper?	
7. Why or why not? Explain in 2 o	r 3 sentences.	

re is more detailed information abo	ut the third article.	
Special Promotion – 2	25% OFF Ener-Chi Art – Nov. 24 th to 30 th Learn M	lore
Call Toll-free! 1.866.258.4006		f 🕑 🗅 G
Your Trus	Chi Wellness Ce ted Source of Natural Healing	enter Methods
Home Books Wellness Products Fi	Chi Wellness Ce ted Source of Natural Healing	out Contact Us SHOP
Home Books Wellness Products Fi	Chi Wellness Co ted Source of Natural Healing ree Health Info Resources Wholesale Abo <previous next=""></previous>	enter Methods out Contact Us SHOP

The following text is an excerpt from the article.

One of the riskiest of all diagnostic tools is the X-ray machine. Most people who visit a doctor will experience at least one exposure to these high-frequency waves of ionizing radiation (X-rays). These are the facts that have been discovered so far about the adverse side effects of X-rays:

- Scientists have told the American Congress that X-radiation of the lower abdominal region puts a person at risk for developing genetic damage that can be passed on to the next generation. They also linked the 'typical diseases of aging, such as diabetes, high blood pressure, coronary heart disease, strokes and cataracts, with previous exposure to X-rays.
- It is estimated that at least 4,000 Americans die each year from X-ray related illnesses.

Please examine the screens Questions 8-10.	shot of the above website be	fore responding to
If you would like more infor following link:	mation, you may visit the we	bsite by clicking on the
http://www.eper_chi.com/the	a-barmful-side-effects-of-y-r	ave-often-nose-a-greater-risk-
than-the-original-health-pro	hlem/	ys-onen-pose-a-greater-risk-
than-the-original-nearth-pro		
You are also welcome to vis	sit other websites to support	your evaluation.
8. What is the credibility of this a	article?	
Certainly credible	Likely not credible	I have no basis by which to evaluate
Very likely credible	Very likely not credible	the credibility of the article.
Likely credible	Certainly not credible	
10. Why or why not? Explain in 2 or	r 3 sentences.	

Evaluate Article #4

Here is more detailed information about the fourth article.



The following text is an excerpt from the article.

Diagnostic X-rays (primarily from CT scans due to the large dose used) increase the risk of developmental problems and cancer in those exposed.[80][81][82] X-rays are classified as a carcinogen by both the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer and the U.S. government.[73][83] It is estimated that 0.4% of current cancers in the United States are due to computed tomography (CT scans) performed in the past and that this may increase to as high as 1.5-2% with 2007 rates of CT usage.[84]

Experimental and epidemiological data currently do not support the proposition that there is a threshold dose of radiation below which there is no increased risk of cancer.[85] However, this is under increasing doubt.[86] It is estimated that the additional radiation will increase a person's cumulative risk of getting cancer by age 75 by 0.6–1.8%.[87] The amount of absorbed radiation depends upon the type of X-ray test and the body part involved.[88] CT and fluoroscopy entail higher doses of radiation than do plain X-rays.

Please examine the screenshot of the above website before responding to Questions 11-13.

If you would like more information, you may visit the website by clicking on the following link:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/X-ray#Adverse_effects

You are also welcome to visit other websites to support your evaluation.

11. What is the credibility of this	s article?	
Certainly credible	Likely not credible	I have no basis by which to evaluate the credibility of the article.
Very likely credible	Very likely not credible	,
Likely credible	Certainly not credible	
12. How likely are you to use th	e article as a reference for your paper?	
Very Likely	Unlikely 💛 Very Unlikely	
13. Why or why not? Explain in 2 c	or 3 sentences.	

Evaluate Article #5 Here is more detailed information about the fifth article.

FAST LEARNERS GRADUATE SOONER.

EARN YOUR DEGREE ONLINE. GET STARTED

Are X-rays really safe?

By Tim Newman | Last updated Tue 9 January 2018

Reviewed by William Morrison, MD

What are X-rays? | Types | Risks | Side effects | Benefits | Safety

X-rays are a vital imaging tool used around the globe. Since first being used to image bones over 100 years ago, the X-ray has saved countless lives and helped in a range of important discoveries.

X-rays are a naturally occurring form of electromagnetic radiation. They are produced when charged particles of sufficient energy hit a material.

Over the years, scientists have shown concern over the health implications of X-rays. After all, they involve firing radiation at the patient. But, do its benefits outweigh its risks?

This *MNT Knowledge Center* article will discuss what X-rays are, how they are used in medical science, and the level of risk that they pose.

The following text is an excerpt from the article.

X-rays can cause mutations in our DNA and, therefore, might lead to cancer later in life. For this reason, X-rays are classified as a carcinogen by both the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United States government. However, the benefits of X-ray technology far outweigh the potential negative consequences of using them.

It is estimated that 0.4 percent of cancers in the U.S. are caused by CT scans. Some scientists expect this level to rise in parallel with the increased use of CT scans in medical procedures. At least 62 million CT scans were carried out in America in 2007.

According to one study, by the age of 75 years, X-rays will increase the risk of cancer by 0.6 to 1.8 percent. In other words, the risks are minimal compared to the benefits of medical imaging.

Please examine the screenshot of the above website before responding to Questions 8-10.

If you would like more information, you may visit the website by clicking on the following link:

https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/219970.php

You are also welcome to visit other websites to support your evaluation.

14. What is the credibility of this article?

Certainly credible	Likely not credible	I have no basis by which to evaluate
Very likely credible	Very likely not credible	the credibility of the article.
Likely credible	Certainly not credible	
15. How likely are you to use the	e article as a reference for your pape	er?
Very Likely	Unlikely Very Unlikely	
16. Why or why not? Explain in 2 or	r 3 sentences.	

Spring 2018 HNRS 353 Pre-assessment

17.	Below a	are the ti	tles and	weblinks	for the	five sou	irces of	evidence	e in the	orderin	which ye	ou exam	ined
then	n.												

The Surprising Effects of CT Scans and X-rays https://www.consumerreports.org/cro/magazine/2015/01/the-surprising-dangers-of-ct-sans-and-xrays/index.htm

What are the risks from medical X-rays and other low-dose radiation? http://www.birpublications.org/doi/abs/10.1259/bjr/55733882

The Harmful Side Effects of X-rays Often Pose a Greater Risk than the Original Health Problem http://www.ener-chi.com/tag/x-rays-and-cancer-risk/

X-rays - Adverse Effects https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/X-ray#Adverse_effects

Are X-rays Really Safe?

https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/219970.php

Rank these five references in order of credibility.

The Surprising Effects of CT Scans and X-rays (published by Consumer Reports)

#

....

\$

What are the risks from medical X-rays and other low-dose radiation? (published by The British Institute of Radiology)

The Harmful Side Effects of X-rays Often Pose a Greater Risk than the Original Health Problem (published by Ener-Chi Wellness Center)

#

X-rays - Adverse Effects (published by Wikipedia)

₩ (\$

Are X-rays Really Safe? (published by Medical News Today)

Spring 2018 HNRS 353 Pre-assessment

Section 2: Demographic Survey

18. Please enter your first name and last name. We will use this information for matching with future surveys; your name will be removed prior to the analysis of the responses.

19. l a	am a
\bigcirc	First-year student (freshman)
\bigcirc	Second-year student (sophomore)
\bigcirc	Third-year student (junior)
\bigcirc	Fourth-year or more student (senior)
\bigcirc	Transfer student
20. W	/hat is your current gender identity?
\bigcirc	Male
\bigcirc	Female
\bigcirc	Other
21. W	/hat was the primary language spoken in your home? Arabic Armenian Chinese English French French Creole German
\bigcirc	Greek Gujarati Hindi Italian Japanese Korean Persian Polish
\bigcirc	Portuguese 🔿 Russian 🔿 Spanish 🔿 Tagalog 🔷 Urdu 🔷 Vietnamese
\bigcirc	Other (please specify)
2. Wha	t was your most recent science class?
3. Wha	t is your undergraduate major?

24. What is your undergraduate minor?

Thank you for completing this pre-assessment.

If you have further questions about the study, please email Dr. Nancy Holincheck in the Graduate School of Education at GMU, <u>nholinch@gmu.edu</u>