Spring 2019 HNRS 353 POST-assessment

Please answer the following questions to the best of your ability. Your responses will help us to understand how you used sinvestigator in the asisgnments for this course.

Will my responses be graded?

No. Your responses will be used by our research team to understand how you think critically about science.

Will my results be confidential?

The professors and researchers on our team will compile your responses for our project; no one outside of our team will have access to your responses.

If you encounter problems or have questions while you are completing this post-assessment, please raise your hand and a member of the research team will assist you.

Spring 2019 HNRS 353 POST-assessment

Section 1: sInvestigator Functionality

1. Please enter your first name and last name. We will use this information for matching with earlier surveys our name will be removed prior to the analysis of the responses.
* 2. sInvestigator helps students to develop critical thinking skills in approaching scientific problems.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neither agree nor disagree
Disagree
Strongly disagree
Explain your response.

* 3. sInvestigator helps students as they approach a scientific problem as ceaseless hypotheses, and arguments.	s discovery of evidence
Strongly agree	
Agree	
Neither agree nor disagree	
Disagree	
Strongly disagree	
Explain your response.	
* 4. sInvestigator helps students to critique and debate evidence-based scientific are scientific investigations.	gamentation in real-life
Strongly agree	
Agree	
Somewhat agree	
Somewhat disagree	
Disagree	
Strongly disagree	
Explain your response.	
5. We are researching whether sInvestigator contributed to your development of com	putational thinking.
Computational thinking (CT) is "the thought processes	
nvolved in formulating problems and their solutions	
o that the solutions are represented in a form that an be effectively carried out by an information processing agent."	
low did using sinvestigator help you formulate problems and their solutions?	

Spring 2019 HNRS 353 POST-assessment

Section 2: sInvestigator Usability

* 6. I	think that I would like to use sInvestigator frequently.
	Strongly agree
\bigcirc	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
\bigcirc	Strongly disagree
* 7 I	
^ 7.1	found sInvestigator unnecessarily complex.
	Strongly agree
\bigcirc	Agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat agree
\bigcirc	Somewhat disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
\bigcirc	Strongly disagree
* 8. I	thought slnvestigator was easy to use.
	Strongly agree
\bigcirc	Agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
\bigcirc	Strongly disagree
* 9. I	think that I would need the support of a technical person to be able to use sInvestigator.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree

* 10.	I found the various functions of sInvestigator were well integrated.
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
\bigcirc	Agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
* 11.	I thought there was too much inconsistency in this sInvestigator.
\bigcirc	Strongly agree
\bigcirc	Agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
* 12.	I would imagine that most people would learn to use this sInvestigator very quickly.
0	Strongly agree
\bigcirc	Agree
\bigcirc	Neither agree nor disagree
\bigcirc	Disagree
	Strongly disagree
* 13.	I found sInvestigator very cumbersome to use.
	Strongly agree
	Agree
	Strongly disagree
* 1 <i>4</i>	I felt very confident using stryestigator
* 14. O	Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree I felt very confident using sInvestigator. Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

^ 15. I needed to learn a lot of things before I could get going with sinvestigator.		
Strongly agree		
Agree		
Neither agree nor disagree		
Disagree		
Strongly disagree		
* 16. The sInvestigator research team has enough money to pay the developers to make one major system		
upgrade. What change to the system should they make?		
Spring 2019 HNRS 353 POST-assessment		
Section 2: Tenets of Nature of Science		
* 17. Which of the tenets of Nature of Science listed below did you think about as you used sInvestigator?		
(Check all that apply).		
Scientific ideas are durable, yet are subject to change.		
Science avoids bias.		
The natural world is understandable.		
Science is a blend of logic and imagination.		
Science is a social activity.		
Science demands evidence.		
* 18. Choose ONE of the tenets of Nature of Science that you checked above and explain your response in 1 or 2 sentences.		
19. Would you be willing to talk with us again about your experiences with sInvestigator this semester? (We would offer you a gift card for sharing your valuable time!)		
If so, please enter the email address you would like us to use to contact you.		

Thank you for completing this post-assessment and supporting our research with sInvestigator.

If you have further questions about the study, please email Dr. Nancy Holincheck in the Graduate School of Education at GMU, nholinch@gmu.edu